Notice of Meeting for the
Mobility Georgetown Citizen Advisory Committee
of the City of Georgetown
October 12, 2020 at 5:30 PM
at Virtual

The City of Georgetown is committed to compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). If you require assistance in participating at a public meeting due to a disability, as defined under the ADA, reasonable assistance, adaptations, or accommodations will be provided upon request. Please contact the City Secretary's Office, at least three (3) days prior to the scheduled meeting date, at (512) 930-3652 or City Hall at 808 Martin Luther King Jr. Street, Georgetown, TX 78626 for additional information; TTY users route through Relay Texas at 711.

Consistent with Governor Greg Abbott’s suspension of various provisions of the Open Meetings Act, effective August 1, 2020 and until further notice, to reduce the chance of COVID-19 transmission, all City of Georgetown Advisory Board meetings will be held virtually. Public comment will be allowed via teleconference; no one will be allowed to appear in person.

To participate, please copy and paste the weblink below into your browser:

Citizen comments are accepted in three different formats:
1. Submit written comments to shirley.rinn@georgetown.org by 1:00 p.m. on the date of the meeting and the Recording Secretary will read your comments into the recording during the item that is being discussed.
2. Log onto the meeting at the link above and “raise your hand” during the item
3. Use your home/mobile phone to call the toll-free number
To join a Zoom meeting, click on the link provided and join as an attendee. You will be asked to enter your name and email address (this is so we can identify you when you are called upon). To speak on an item, click on the “Raise your Hand” option at the bottom of the Zoom meeting webpage once that item has opened. When you are called upon by the Recording Secretary, your device will be remotely un-muted by the Administrator and you may speak for three minutes. Please state your name clearly, and when your time is over, your device will be muted again.
Use of profanity, threatening language, slanderous remarks or threats of harm are not allowed and will result in you being immediately removed from the meeting.

Join Zoom Meeting
https://georgetowntx.zoom.us/j/91563578903?
**Legislative Regular Agenda**

A Roll Call - Chere Heintzmann and Ercel Brashear, Committee Co-Chairs

B Call to Order - Chere Heintzmann and Ercel Brashear, Committee Co-Chairs

C Discussion on how the Mobility Georgetown Citizen Advisory Committee virtual conference will be conducted, to include options for public comments and how the public may address the Committee—Bridget Hinze Weber, Assistant to the City Manager

D Consideration and approval of the minutes for the Mobility Georgetown Citizen Advisory Committee for the Meeting held on Monday, September 28, 2020 — Chere Heintzmann and Ercel Brashear, Committee Co-Chairs

E Presentation and discussion on the second phase of public engagement for the proposed Mobility Bond – Aly Van Dyke, Director of Communications and Public Engagement

F Consideration and possible action regarding performance measures used in the ranking of potential projects – Jake Gutekunst, Kimley-Horn

G Presentation and discussion on the revised scopes for the potential mobility projects for the proposed bond targeting May 2021 – Jake Gutekunst, Kimley-Horn

H Public Comment - Chere Heintzmann and Ercel Brashear, Committee Co-Chairs

---

**Certificate of Posting**

I, Robyn Densmore, City Secretary for the City of Georgetown, Texas, do hereby certify that this Notice of Meeting was posted at City Hall, 808 Martin Luther King Jr. Street, Georgetown, TX 78626, a place readily accessible to the general public as required by law, on the _____ day of _________________, 2020, at ____________, and remained so posted for at least 72 continuous hours preceding the scheduled time of said meeting.

__________________________________
Robyn Densmore, City Secretary
City of Georgetown, Texas
Mobility Georgetown Citizen Advisory Committee
October 12, 2020

SUBJECT:
Consideration and approval of the minutes for the Mobility Georgetown Citizen Advisory Committee for
the Meeting held on Monday, September 28, 2020 — Chere Heintzmann and Ercel Brashear, Committee
Co-Chairs

ITEM SUMMARY:

FINANCIAL IMPACT:
..

SUBMITTED BY:
Karen Frost for Bridget Weber

ATTACHMENTS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minutes_Mobility Bond CAC 09.28.20</td>
<td>Backup Material</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Legislative Regular Agenda

A Roll Call - Chere Heintzmann and Ercel Brashear, Committee Co-Chairs

Roll was called. All members present with members Walter Bradley and Jesse Saunders absent.

B Call to Order - Chere Heintzmann and Ercel Brashear, Committee Co-Chairs

As a quorum was established, Ercel Brashear called the meeting to order. At this point, the committee skipped item C and moved to item D.

D. Discussion on how the Mobility Georgetown Citizen Advisory Committee virtual conference will be conducted, to include options for public comments and how the public may address the Committee—Bridget Hinze Weber, Assistant to the City Manager

Weber went over the meeting procedures which are including in the presentation in the agenda.

C Consideration and approval of the minutes for the Mobility Georgetown Citizen Advisory Committee for the Meeting held on Monday, August 24, 2020 — Chere Heintzmann and Ercel Brashear, Committee Co-Chairs

Motion by Steve Bohnenkamp, second by Keith Brainard.

Roll call vote. Motion Approved 14-0.

E Discussion and discussion on potential mobility projects for the proposed bond targeting May 2021 – Jake Guntekunst, Kimley-Horn; Wesley Wright, Director of
Systems Engineering; and Ray Miller, Director of Public Works

Gutekunst gave presentation which is included in the packet. Brainard asked if staff could give the committee a list of design money that has already been authorized from the 2015 road bond. Morgan replied that they could give an inventory of projects that only had design money attached to it. Wright added that there was about $5.8 million in the 2015 bond for projects that was design only. Brashear asked if there is an opportunity to use those design funds for design projects on the proposed 2021 bond. Wright replied that the committee can recommend that council. Sutphin asked whether the committee can make recommendations beyond the $50 million that council looked for. Brashear replied that the committee was responsible for presenting their ideas for what was necessary. Morgan also noted that, Georgetown’s exponential growth, different projects might become priorities over the next few years. Brashear asked what Gutekunst was looking for from the committee when he walked through the potential projects. Gutekunst referred back to the slide in the presentation titled “Project Scope Edits” which laid out what he was hoping to get the committee’s input. Leon asked if maintenance was part of the bond project and Gutekunst replied that maintenance is not contemplated as part of the bond. Brashear asked if they were anticipating that they would not be able to get right-of-way. Wright replied that they are assuming responsibility to get right-of-way. Sutphin asked and Brashear affirmed that GISD acquired land along DB Wood Road in order to build future schools as the need arises. Brashear asked and Gutekunst affirmed that Roadway Project C for Shell Rd. could be truncated if the committee makes that recommendation that. Bronner asked if there were any developments going on or planned for any of these road projects where the developer would be required to put in the sidewalk. Reed answered that when developments go in there is a responsibility for them to contribute and sidewalks are part of their project. Reed added that the Shell Rd. MUD will contribute $2.5 million towards future transportation enhancements to Shell Rd. The city negotiated a contribution because of the planned expansion of Shell Rd. Holzer asked if it was either/or for the eight feet designated for bike/walkways. Gutekunst replied that it is either or – either a bike plan or parking. Leon stated that sidewalks were an essential part of Roadway Project J. Some committee members worry that right-of-way for Project J would require moving homes. After some discussion about Project P, Morgan stated that council looked at this project as part of their five year CIP plan and council kept the project on the plan, but did not put it within the five year time frame. Smith asked if Projects X, Y, and Z were not included in the bond program would there be other funds available to complete them. Morgan said there is no alternative funding source at this time.
numbers were not meant to imply priority and could be updated based on feedback from the board.

Public Comment - Ercel Brashear, Committee Co-Chair

There were no public comments.

Adjournment
Adjourn

Motion by Dryden, second by Bohnenkamp.

Motion Approved unanimously, 14-0

Adjournered at 8:22pm

Certificate of Posting

I, Robyn Densmore, City Secretary for the City of Georgetown, Texas, do hereby certify that this Notice of Meeting was posted at City Hall, 808 Martin Luther King Jr. Street, Georgetown, TX 78626, a place readily accessible to the general public as required by law, on the ______ day of __________________________, 2020, at ______________, and remained so posted for at least 72 continuous hours preceding the scheduled time of said meeting.
SUBJECT:
Presentation and discussion on the second phase of public engagement for the proposed Mobility Bond –
Aly Van Dyke, Director of Communications and Public Engagement

ITEM SUMMARY:

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

SUBMITTED BY:
Karen Frost for Bridget Weber

ATTACHMENTS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mobility Bond Public Engagement Item</td>
<td>Backup Material</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OVERVIEW

• Phase I Recap
• Phase II Engagement Strategies
• Phase II Communications Strategies
• Timeline
• Committee Decision Points
• Survey open July 15 through Aug. 15
• 516 responses
• Majority want projects to focus on:
  • Investing in roads & intersections
  • Managing congestion
  • Improving traffic signals
• Estimated reach of 500,000
• Goals:
  • Ascertain public priorities to help inform your decisions
  • Create awareness and ownership
• Limitations: COVID-19
PHASE II ENGAGEMENT STRATEGIES
PHASE II ENGAGEMENT: Goals

- Actionable, specific feedback
- Verification we’re on the right track
- Education
- Closing feedback loop
PHASE II ENGAGEMENT: Targeted Audiences

- Elected and appointed officials
- Other governmental agencies & organizations
- Chamber of Commerce
- Neighborhood leaders
- Spanish-language
- Limited access to internet
- Local media
PHASE II ENGAGEMENT: Methods

• Digital map survey
  • Alternatives: Simplified, paper, Spanish

• Virtual Town Hall
  • Streamed live online (Facebook)
  • Committee meeting

• Virtual Open House
  • Repurpose town hall footage
  • Specific feedback opportunity on each video
Social Pinpoint (Online Map Survey)

Low-Tech Survey (& Paper Version)

**Project Name** – NE Inner Loop (Project I-1)

**Project Limits** – I-35 to FM 971

**Description**: Scope includes widening to a divided 4-lane arterial with sidewalks both sides and bike lanes

Do you support this project? (Circle): Yes  No

Comments:
PHASE II COMMUNICATIONS

• Websites
• Social media
• Newsletters
• Utility bill insert
• News releases + media coordination
• Videos
  • From Phase I
  • Georgetown Essential Messages
  • What’s Up Georgetown?

• Advertisements ($4,000)
  • Community Impact
  • Williamson County Sun
  • KUT
  • Facebook (English & Spanish)
PHASE II ENGAGEMENT: TIMELINE

Oct. 26
Committee approves final communications and engagement plan

Nov. 4
Phase II Public Engagement launch: Survey, website, news release, virtual meeting announcement, etc.

Nov. 9
Virtual Town Hall

Nov. 30
Survey closes; team will collect and summarize engagement for report to committee

Dec. 14
Present engagement report to committee
COMMITTEE DECISION POINTS

• Are there any other audiences you want us to target?
• Do you support the comment-based survey approach?
• Do you want us to turn on the like/dislike feature on the projects, as well as for the comments?
• Are there any other locations you’d like us to post posters/fliers/paper surveys?
• Are you supportive of the engagement methods, and do you have any others you want us to explore?
• Whom do you want to serve as the spokesperson for the committee?
SUBJECT:
Consideration and possible action regarding performance measures used in the ranking of potential projects – Jake Gutekunst, Kimley-Horn

ITEM SUMMARY:

FINANCIAL IMPACT:
..

SUBMITTED BY:
Karen Frost for Bridget Weber

ATTACHMENTS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mobility Bond performance measures for ranking</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ITEM F – PERFORMANCE MEASURES FOR RANKING PROJECTS
### Performance Measures – Congestion (25/100)

This goal seeks to prioritize projects that maximize the efficiency of vehicular travel within the roadway network, with an emphasis on functional classification and capacity enhancements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Congestion</th>
<th>25.00</th>
<th>50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional Classification</th>
<th>Major Arterial</th>
<th>Minor Arterial</th>
<th>Collector</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Existing Traffic</td>
<td>&gt;20,000 ADT</td>
<td>10,000 - 20,000 ADT</td>
<td>5,000 - 10,000 ADT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adds vehicular capacity?</td>
<td>Adds through lanes</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Does not add through lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Pipeline Growth in Project Vicinity?</td>
<td>High Amount</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Low Amount or None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SCORING = Weighted Goal Value * (Points Received / Points Available)**

**Goal Subtotal = 50**
## Performance Measures – Safety (20/100)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Safety</strong></td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crash Rates - Corridor Level Analysis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 100 Collisions per 100 Million VMT</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 50 &amp; 100 Collisions per 100 Million VMT</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 10 &amp; 50 Collisions per 100 Million VMT</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;10 Collisions per 100 Million VMT or a New Roadway</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Safety Concerns</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extreme Safety Issues (Floodplain over road, sight distance issues, poor pavement, lack of turn lanes)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate Safety Issues (Vertical/horizontal curvature/pedestrian)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Safety Issues (street lights)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No additional safety concerns</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Goal Subtotal =** 10
## Performance Measures – Intersections (10/100) & Funding Partnerships (15/100)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>15.00</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>This goal seeks to prioritize projects that enhance intersections in the City.</th>
<th>Major Intersections</th>
<th>&gt; 5 intersections with thoroughfares</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3-5 intersections with thoroughfares</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1-2 intersections with thoroughfares</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No intersections with thoroughfares</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Goal Subtotal =</strong></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>15.00</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>This goal seeks to prioritize projects that are ready to implement and leverage funding from outside sources.</th>
<th>Alternate Funding Opportunities</th>
<th>Funding allocated from Wilco / TxDOT</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No funding from Wilco / TxDOT</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Goal Subtotal =</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Gap Closures</strong></th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Connects to access control facility</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connects two or more arterials</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeds into an arterial at an endpoint</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No enhancement to connectivity</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Parallel Connection to Major Routes</strong></th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Runs Parallel to Access Controlled Facility (I-35, SH 130)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runs Parallel to Major Arterial</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not Run Parallel to Major Arterial or Access Controlled Facility</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Goal Subtotal =** 25

This goal seeks to prioritize projects that have the highest impact to connectivity.
### Master Plan Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10.00</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

This goal seeks to prioritize projects in areas where investments will improve ability to travel by different modes.

### On bike master plan as corridor & no sidewalks currently
- 10

### On bike master plan & sidewalk one side
- 6

### Missing sidewalks (not on Bike Master Plan)
- 4

### Not on bike master plan and sidewalks exist on one side full length
- 0

**Goal Subtotal = 10**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Limits</th>
<th>25.00</th>
<th>20.00</th>
<th>15.00</th>
<th>15.00</th>
<th>15.00</th>
<th>10.00</th>
<th>Total Overall Score</th>
<th>Ranking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>SH 29</td>
<td>Re-build SH 29 between Wolf Ranch Parkway and the I-35</td>
<td>Wolf Ranch Pkwy to HEB</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>67.00</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Shell Rd</td>
<td>Widening to a 4-lane divided Major Arterial</td>
<td>Williams Dr to SH 195</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>62.00</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-1</td>
<td>NE Inner Loop</td>
<td>Widening to an divided 4-lane arterial</td>
<td>IH-35 to FM 971</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>57.00</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>Westinghouse Rd Reconstruction</td>
<td>Reconstruct Westinghouse Road to a super 2 (2 lanes with shoulders)</td>
<td>FM 1460 to SH 130</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>54.50</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Williams Dr</td>
<td>Access management project to add a median in the existing center</td>
<td>DB Wood to IH-35</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>54.50</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-2</td>
<td>NE Inner Loop</td>
<td>Widening to an divided 4-lane arterial</td>
<td>FM 971 to SH 29</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>54.00</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>SE Inner Loop</td>
<td>Widening to a 4-lane divided minor arterial with median from SH 29 to</td>
<td>SH 29 to FM 1460</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>SE Inner Loop Extension</td>
<td>2 lane arterial from existing end point of Sam Houston</td>
<td>Patriots Way to SH 29</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>48.00</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>SH 29</td>
<td>Widening to a 4-lane divided arterial with median</td>
<td>Haven Ln to SH 130</td>
<td>17.50</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>47.50</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>FM 971</td>
<td>Widening to a 4-lane divided major arterial</td>
<td>Gann St to SH 130</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>46.50</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Initial Prioritization Results 2 of 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Limits</th>
<th>25.00</th>
<th>20.00</th>
<th>15.00</th>
<th>15.00</th>
<th>15.00</th>
<th>10.00</th>
<th>Total Overall Score</th>
<th>Ranking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Shell Rd</td>
<td>Widening to a 4-lane divided Major Arterial</td>
<td>IH-35 to SH 195</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>42.50</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Rockride Ln</td>
<td>Widening to a 4-lane divided collector with a median</td>
<td>SE Inner Loop to Sam Houston Ave</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>41.00</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>DB Wood</td>
<td>Median by Safety Ops Center; widen to a 4 lane Major Arterial</td>
<td>1800' South of Williams Dr to Oakridge Rd</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>39.00</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Southwestern Blvd</td>
<td>Widening to a 4-lane divided minor arterial with median from SE Inner</td>
<td>SH 29 to Raintree Dr</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>38.00</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Lakeway Dr</td>
<td>Widening to a 4-lane divided arterial with median from</td>
<td>Airport Rd to Northwest Blvd</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>37.00</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Austin Avenue Bridges</td>
<td>Rehabilitate the Austin Avenue bridges crossing the North and</td>
<td>Second St to Morrow St</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>36.50</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Leander Rd (RM 2243)</td>
<td>Widening to a 4-lane divided arterial with median</td>
<td>SW Bypass to Norwood</td>
<td>17.50</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Williams Dr</td>
<td>Access management project to add a median in the existing center</td>
<td>Jim Hogg Ln to DB Wood Rd</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>32.00</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>Maple St</td>
<td>Widening to a 4-lane divided collector with a median</td>
<td>Sam Houston Ave to Ridge Line Blvd</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>26.50</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Northwest Blvd</td>
<td>Widening to a 4-lane divided collector with a median; Striping bike infrastructure &amp; Shared use path</td>
<td>Serenada Dr to Lakeway Blvd</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Number</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Limits</td>
<td>Total Score: Congestion</td>
<td>Total Score: Safety</td>
<td>Total Score: Intersections</td>
<td>Total Score: Funding Opportunities</td>
<td>Total Score: Travel Choices</td>
<td>Total Score: Overall Score</td>
<td>Ranking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Lakeway Dr</td>
<td>Widening to a 3-lane undivided collector with a center left turn</td>
<td>Northwest Blvd to Williams Dr</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Memorial Dr</td>
<td>Widening to a 3-lane undivided collector with a center turn lane</td>
<td>River Chase Blvd to Wolf Ranch Pkwy</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
City of Georgetown, Texas
Mobility Georgetown Citizen Advisory Committee
October 12, 2020

SUBJECT:
Presentation and discussion on the revised scopes for the potential mobility projects for the proposed bond targeting May 2021 – Jake Gutekunst, Kimley-Horn

ITEM SUMMARY:

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

SUBMITTED BY:
Karen Frost for Bridget Weber

ATTACHMENTS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mobility bond revised scopes</td>
<td>Presentation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ITEM G –
POTENTIAL PROJECT SCOPE REVISIONS
Roadway Project A – DB Wood

- **Previous Cost:** $32.9 Million
- **New Cost:** $19 Million
- **Limits:** 1,800’ S of Williams Dr to Oakridge Rd
- **What Changed**
  - Removed Median
  - Removed sidewalk opposite shared path
  - Made rural (open drainage) section
  - Reduced to adding only 1 bridge
Roadway Project B – Williams Dr (West)

- Previous Cost: $15.6 Million
- New Cost: $8.6 Million
- Limits: Jim Hogg Ln to DB Wood Rd
- What Changed?:
  - Reduced Right-of-Way costs for full OTP section and associated utility relocations to $1 Million
Roadway Project C – Shell Rd (South)

- Previous Cost: $53.5 Million
- New Cost: $14.2 Million
- Limits: 500’ N of Williams Dr to N of Sycamore
- What Changed:
  - Shortened to be just north of Sycamore
  - Made rural (open drainage) section
Roadway Project E – Williams Dr (East)

- Previous Cost: $54.5 Million
- New Cost: $10.2 Million
- Limits: DB Wood Rd to Interstate 35
- What Changed?:
  - Reduced Right-of-Way costs for full OTP section and associated utility relocations to $1 Million
Roadway Project F – Lakeway Dr (South)

• Previous Cost: $16.9 Million
• New Cost: $5.9 Million
• Limits: Williams Dr to Northwest Blvd
• What Changed:
  • Eliminated planting strip
  • Reduced ROW & utility cost accordingly
Roadway Project G – Northwest Blvd

- Previous Cost: $12.9 Million
- New Cost: $1 Million
- Limits: Serenada Dr to Lakeway Blvd
- What Changed:
  - Reduced ROW Cost estimated to get full OTP section space
Roadway Project I – NE Inner Loop

- Total Cost: $53.8 Million
- New Cost: NO CHANGE
- Limits: Northwest Blvd to Airport Rd
- What Changed?
  - Split into 2 projects at FM 971 (I-1 & I-2)
Roadway Project N – Rockride Ln

• Previous Cost: $9 Million
• New Cost: $5.8 Million
• Limits: SE Inner Loop to Sam Houston Ave
• What’s Included:
  • Widen to 3 lane section
  • Sidewalk on both sides of roadway
Roadway Project Q – SH 29 (East)

• Previous Cost: **Not Included**
• New Cost: $34.1 Million
• Limits: Haven Ln to SH 130
• What’s Included:
  • Widen to 4 lanes & median
  • Sidewalk on both sides of roadway
Roadway Project R – Leander Rd (RM 2243)

• Previous Cost: **Not Included**
• New Cost: $7.7 Million
• Limits: SW Bypass to Norwood Dr
• What’s Included:
  • Widen to 4 lanes & median
  • Sidewalk on both sides of roadway
  • No curb & gutter drainage (rural section)
Roadway Project X* – SH 29 (Partnership)

- Total Est. Cost: $100 Million (preliminary)
- City Contribution: Intend to ask GTEC to fund City’s portion of project
- Limits: Wolf Ranch Pkwy to HEB signal
- Georgetown Transportation Enhancement Corporation (GTEC):
  - GTEC exists to support specific economic development oriented transportation projects to promote industrial, employment centers, and commercial development
  - Staff will recommend GTEC support this project
## Summary of Changes to Project Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Limits</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Project Budget</th>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Inflation</th>
<th>Bond Issuance</th>
<th>Right-of-Way Est</th>
<th>Utility Relo Est</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>DB Wood</td>
<td>1800’ South of Williams Dr to Oakridge Rd</td>
<td>10,511 LF</td>
<td>$ 19,028,000</td>
<td>$ 12,600,000</td>
<td>$ 2,016,000</td>
<td>$ 2,016,000</td>
<td>$ 146,160</td>
<td>$ 2,000,000</td>
<td>$ 250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Williams Dr</td>
<td>Jim Hogg Ln to DB Wood Rd</td>
<td>12,418 LF</td>
<td>$ 8,590,000</td>
<td>$ 5,700,000</td>
<td>$ 912,000</td>
<td>$ 912,000</td>
<td>$ 66,120</td>
<td>$ 500,000</td>
<td>$ 500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Shell Rd</td>
<td>Williams Dr to N of Sycamore</td>
<td>6,908 LF</td>
<td>$ 14,234,000</td>
<td>$ 9,000,000</td>
<td>$ 1,440,000</td>
<td>$ 1,440,000</td>
<td>$ 104,400</td>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>$ 1,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Shell Rd</td>
<td>IH-35 to SH 195</td>
<td>11,045 LF</td>
<td>$ 23,474,000</td>
<td>$ 15,600,000</td>
<td>$ 2,400,000</td>
<td>$ 2,400,000</td>
<td>$ 174,000</td>
<td>$ 2,500,000</td>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Williams Dr</td>
<td>DB Wood to IH-35</td>
<td>16,794 LF</td>
<td>$ 10,188,000</td>
<td>$ 6,900,000</td>
<td>$ 2,104,000</td>
<td>$ 2,104,000</td>
<td>$ 80,040</td>
<td>$ 500,000</td>
<td>$ 500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Lakeway Dr</td>
<td>Northwest Blvd to Williams Dr</td>
<td>2,891 LF</td>
<td>$ 5,930,000</td>
<td>$ 2,200,000</td>
<td>$ 352,000</td>
<td>$ 352,000</td>
<td>$ 25,520</td>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>$ 2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Northwest Blvd</td>
<td>Serenada Dr to Lakeway Blvd</td>
<td>5,406 LF</td>
<td>$ 1,024,000</td>
<td>$ 600,000</td>
<td>$ 96,000</td>
<td>$ 96,000</td>
<td>$ 9,600</td>
<td>$ 125,000</td>
<td>$ 100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Lakeway Dr</td>
<td>Airport Rd to Northwest Blvd</td>
<td>5,949 LF</td>
<td>$ 14,134,000</td>
<td>$ 9,300,000</td>
<td>$ 1,488,000</td>
<td>$ 1,488,000</td>
<td>$ 107,880</td>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>$ 750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-1</td>
<td>NE Inner Loop</td>
<td>IH-35 to FM 971</td>
<td>5,999 LF</td>
<td>$ 18,094,000</td>
<td>$ 12,900,000</td>
<td>$ 2,064,000</td>
<td>$ 2,064,000</td>
<td>$ 149,840</td>
<td>$ 833,333</td>
<td>$ 833,333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-2</td>
<td>NE Inner Loop</td>
<td>FM 971 to SH 29</td>
<td>10,470 LF</td>
<td>$ 35,789,000</td>
<td>$ 25,500,000</td>
<td>$ 4,080,000</td>
<td>$ 4,080,000</td>
<td>$ 295,800</td>
<td>$ 1,666,667</td>
<td>$ 166,667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>FM 971</td>
<td>Gann St to SH 130</td>
<td>8,585 LF</td>
<td>$ 26,565,000</td>
<td>$ 16,946,000</td>
<td>$ 2,711,000</td>
<td>$ 2,711,800</td>
<td>$ 196,570</td>
<td>$ 2,000,000</td>
<td>$ 2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Memorial Dr</td>
<td>River Chase Blvd to Wolf Ranch Pkwy</td>
<td>2,068 LF</td>
<td>$ 2,586,000</td>
<td>$ 1,867,000</td>
<td>$ 290,000</td>
<td>$ 290,000</td>
<td>$ 21,660</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Southwestern Blvd</td>
<td>SH 29 to Reintree Dr</td>
<td>3,738 LF</td>
<td>$ 11,496,000</td>
<td>$ 7,056,000</td>
<td>$ 1,129,000</td>
<td>$ 1,129,860</td>
<td>$ 81,850</td>
<td>$ 1,500,000</td>
<td>$ 600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>SE Inner Loop</td>
<td>SH 29 to FM 1460</td>
<td>13,552 LF</td>
<td>$ 42,116,000</td>
<td>$ 28,549,000</td>
<td>$ 4,588,000</td>
<td>$ 4,587,840</td>
<td>$ 313,170</td>
<td>$ 3,000,000</td>
<td>$ 1,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Rockridge Ln</td>
<td>SE Inner Loop to Sam Houston Ave</td>
<td>4,011 LF</td>
<td>$ 5,776,000</td>
<td>$ 3,211,000</td>
<td>$ 514,000</td>
<td>$ 514,760</td>
<td>$ 37,250</td>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>$ 500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>Maple St</td>
<td>Sam Houston Ave to Ridge Line Blvd</td>
<td>2,962 LF</td>
<td>$ 6,249,000</td>
<td>$ 4,817,000</td>
<td>$ 691,000</td>
<td>$ 691,000</td>
<td>$ 50,080</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Austin Avenue Bridges</td>
<td>Second St to Morrow St</td>
<td>1,771 LF</td>
<td>$ 11,481,000</td>
<td>$ 10,600,000</td>
<td>$ 770,000</td>
<td>$ 770,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 113,700</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>SH 29</td>
<td>Haven Ln to SH 130</td>
<td>10,332 LF</td>
<td>$ 34,098,000</td>
<td>$ 21,900,000</td>
<td>$ 3,504,000</td>
<td>$ 3,504,000</td>
<td>$ 254,040</td>
<td>$ 2,869,605</td>
<td>$ 2,056,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Leander Rd</td>
<td>SW Bruss to Norwood Dr</td>
<td>4,760 LF</td>
<td>$ 7,743,000</td>
<td>$ 5,100,000</td>
<td>$ 818,000</td>
<td>$ 818,000</td>
<td>$ 59,160</td>
<td>$ 952,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Potential Roadway Projects

- **Total Potential Roadway Projects:** $298,598,000 **-$199,246,000 = $99,352,000 **-$30,954,000 = $68,398,000 **-$30,183,640 = $38,244,360 **-$2,302,000 = $25,494,000 **-$14,148,400

### Potential Partnership Projects

- **Total Potential Partnership Projects:** $22,200,000 **-$840,000 = $21,360,000 **-$1,680,000 = $19,680,000 **-$730,000 = $18,970,000

- **Total Potential Roadway Projects:** $320,798,000
Additional Potential Project Scope Edits

• “Soft Cost” edits
  • Inflation assumptions
  • Design cost assumptions

• Urban vs. non-urban sections (drainage type)

• Inclusion of sidewalks / off-street side paths
SUBJECT:
Public Comment - Chere Heintzmann and Ercel Brashear, Committee Co-Chairs

ITEM SUMMARY:

FINANCIAL IMPACT:
..

SUBMITTED BY:
Karen Frost for Bridget Weber